

## ON BANACH-MAZUR COMPACTA

SERGEI M. AGEEV and DUŠAN REPOVŠ

(Received 30 November 1998; revised 27 March 2000)

Communicated by J. A. Hillman

### Abstract

We study Banach-Mazur compacta  $Q(n)$ , that is, the sets of all isometry classes of  $n$ -dimensional Banach spaces topologized by the Banach-Mazur metric. Our main result is that  $Q(2)$  is homeomorphic to the compactification of a Hilbert cube manifold by a point, for we prove that  $Q_{\epsilon}(2) = Q(2) \setminus \{\text{Eucl.}\}$  is a Hilbert cube manifold. As a corollary it follows that  $Q(2)$  is not homogeneous.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 57S10; secondary 54C55, 54F45.

*Keywords and phrases*: noncompact Lie group, approximate  $G$ -ANE space, convex  $G$ -space, proper  $G$ -space, Banach-Mazur compactum.

### 1. Introduction

This paper studies topological properties of Banach-Mazur compacta  $Q(n)$ , that is, the sets of all isometry classes of  $n$ -dimensional Banach spaces topologized by the Banach-Mazur metric. Recently, substantial progress was made concerning these spaces. It was proved in [14] that  $Q(2)$  is an absolute extensor (defined below). Later this result was generalized to all  $n \geq 2$  (see [5]). The long-standing problem about topological equivalence of  $Q(n)$  and the Hilbert cube  $I^{\infty}$  was finally solved negatively for  $n = 2$  in [4].

**THEOREM 1.1.**  *$Q(2)$  and  $I^{\infty}$  are not homeomorphic.*

For any space  $X$  to be homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $I^{\infty}$ , the following necessary conditions must be satisfied for every point  $x \in X$ :

- (a)  $X \setminus \{x\}$  must be homotopically trivial; and
- (b)  $X \setminus \{x\}$  must be a Hilbert cube manifold.

The key idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 was to show that  $Q(2)$  fails to possess the property (a) at the Euclidean point  $\{\text{Eucl.}\}$ , which corresponds to the isometry class of the Euclidean space. On the other hand, the main result of this paper, Theorem 1.2 stated below, implies that the complement  $Q(2) \setminus \{x\}$  of every other point  $x \in Q(2)$  turns out to be homotopically trivial. Furthermore, Theorem 1.2 demonstrates that as far as the property (b) is concerned, everything turns out to be exactly the opposite:  $Q(2) \setminus \{\text{Eucl.}\}$  is a Hilbert cube manifold, while the complement  $Q(2) \setminus \{x\}$  of every other point  $x \in Q(2)$  is not.

**THEOREM 1.2.**  $Q_{\mathcal{E}}(2) = Q(2) \setminus \{\text{Eucl.}\}$  is a Hilbert cube manifold.

As a corollary we prove that  $Q(2)$  is not homogeneous (recall that a space  $X$  is said to be *homogeneous* if for every pair of points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  there exists a homeomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $h(x_1) = x_2$ ).

**COROLLARY 1.3.**  $Q(2)$  is not a homogeneous space.

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3.** By [4],  $\{\text{Eucl.}\}$  is not a  $Z$ -set in  $Q(2)$ . On the other hand, it follows by our Theorem 1.2 above that for every point  $x \in Q(2) \setminus \{\text{Eucl.}\}$ ,  $\{x\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $Q(2) \setminus \{\text{Eucl.}\}$ , hence also a  $Z$ -set in  $Q(2)$ . Therefore  $(Q(2), \{\text{Eucl.}\}) \not\cong (Q(2), \{x\})$ .  $\square$

## 2. Preliminaries

We identify the set  $\text{BAN}(n)$  of all  $n$ -dimensional Banach spaces with the set of all norms in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The *Banach-Mazur* distance  $\rho(X, Y)$  between spaces  $X = \{\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_X\}$  and  $Y = \{\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_Y\} \in \text{BAN}(n)$  is defined as follows:

$$\rho(X, Y) = \inf \{ \|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| \mid T : X \rightarrow Y \text{ is an isomorphism} \},$$

where  $\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\|$  are norms of the operators  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$ , respectively. It is well-known that for every  $X, Y, Z \in \text{BAN}(n)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\rho(X, Z) \leq \rho(X, Y)\rho(Y, Z)$ ;
- (2)  $\rho(X, Y) = \rho(Y, X) \geq 1$ ; and
- (3)  $\rho(X, Y) = 1$  if and only if  $X$  and  $Y$  are *isometric*,  $X \cong Y$ , that is, there exists an isomorphism  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  which preserves the norm  $\|x\|_X = \|T(x)\|_Y$  for every  $x \in X$ .

It follows that the function  $\ln \rho(X, Y)$  is a pseudometric on the space  $\text{BAN}(n)$ , which in the decomposition space  $Q(n) = \text{BAN}(n) / \cong$  becomes the metric  $d([X], [Y]) = \ln \rho(X, Y)$ , where

$$X \cong Y \iff \rho(X, Y) = 1 \iff \ln \rho(X, Y) = 0.$$

The resulting metric space  $(Q(n), d)$  of all isometry classes of  $n$ -dimensional Banach spaces is called the *Banach-Mazur compactum*.

This compactum allows for a different, more suitable presentation as a decomposition of the space  $C(n)$  of all compact convex symmetric (rel 0) bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If one measures the distance between subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the Hausdorff metric  $\rho_H(A, B)$  and defines the linear combination  $\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i A_i$  by means of the Minkowski operation, then  $(C(n), \rho_H)$  becomes a locally compact convex space.

Moreover,  $C(n)$  can be equipped with an action of the general linear group  $GL(n) \times C(n) \rightarrow C(n)$ , given by  $T \cdot V = T(V)$ , where  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \in GL(n)$  and  $V \in C(n)$ , which agrees with the convex structure on  $C(n)$ . We show that the orbit space  $C(n)/GL(n)$  is naturally homeomorphic to the Banach-Mazur compactum.

Indeed, for an arbitrary body  $V \in C(n)$ , the Minkowski functional  $p_V(x) = \inf\{t^{-1} \mid tx \in V\}$  defines a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and consequently, induces a continuous bijection  $M : C(n) \rightarrow \text{BAN}(n)$  defined by  $M(V) = (\mathbb{R}^n, p_V)$ . Since it is well-known that Banach spaces  $M(V)$  and  $M(W)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $V = T \cdot W$  for some  $T \in GL(n)$ , it follows that  $M$  induces a continuous bijection of the quotient spaces

$$\tilde{M} : C(n)/GL(n) \rightarrow Q(n) = \text{BAN}(n)/\cong,$$

which is a homeomorphism.

Hereafter, we shall consider only locally compact Lie groups (for example  $GL(n)$ ), metric spaces and continuous maps, unless otherwise specified. An *action* of  $G$  on a space  $X$  is a homeomorphism  $T : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } X$  of the group  $G$  into the group  $\text{Aut } X$  of all autohomeomorphisms of  $X$  such that the map  $G \times X \rightarrow X$ , given by  $(g, x) \mapsto T(g)(x) = gx$ , is continuous. A space  $X$  with a fixed action of  $G$  is called a  $G$ -space.

For any point  $x \in X$ , the *isotropy subgroup* of  $x$ , or the *stabilizer* of  $x$ , is defined as  $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$ , and the *orbit* of  $x$  as  $G(x) = \{gx \mid g \in G\}$ . The space of all orbits is denoted by  $X/G$  and the natural map  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$ , given by  $\pi(x) = G(x)$ , is called the *orbit projection*. The orbit space  $X/G$  is equipped with the quotient topology, induced by  $\pi$ .

Actions of noncompact groups  $G$  do not agree very well with the orbit structure of  $X$ : the orbit of a point  $x$  can be dense in  $X$ , the orbit space  $X/G$  can be non-Hausdorff, two orbits with the same stabilizer can be nonhomeomorphic, etc. Palais [22] singled out a class of  $G$ -spaces with the action of a locally compact group which do not have such deficiencies—he called such spaces *proper*.

DEFINITION 2.1. (a) Given subsets  $A, B \subset X$  consider the following subset of the group  $G$ :

$$((A, B)) = \{g \in G \mid gA \cap B \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then  $A$  is said to be *thin* with respect to  $B$ , if  $((A, B))$  is precompact, that is, it lies in a compact subset of  $G$ . Since  $((A, B)) = ((B, A))^{-1}$ , it follows that  $B$  is also thin with respect to  $A$ .

(b)  $A \subset X$  is said to be *small* if for every point  $x \in X$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $O(x) \subset X$  of  $x$ , which is thin with respect to  $A$ .

(c) A  $G$ -space  $X$  is said to be *proper* if it possesses a basis, consisting of small neighbourhoods.

In general, the orbit projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$  of a proper  $G$ -space  $X$  fails to be a closed map. This forces us to seek those closed subsets  $F \subset X$  of  $X$  for which the restriction  $\pi|_F : F \rightarrow X/G$  is closed.

DEFINITION 2.2. A closed subset  $F \subset Z$  of a  $G$ -space  $Z$  is said to be *fundamental* if  $F$  is small in  $Z$  and intersects every orbit, that is,  $F \cap G(z) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $z \in Z$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose that a  $G$ -space  $Z$  is proper and that the orbit space  $Z/G$  is metrizable. Then*

(d) *there exists a fundamental subset  $F \subset Z$ ; and*

(e) *for every fundamental subset  $F \subset Z$ , the restriction  $\pi|_F : F \rightarrow Z/G$  is a proper map.*

DEFINITION 2.4. An *exact slice* at the point  $x \in X$  is a  $G$ -map  $\varphi : U \rightarrow G(x)$  of some  $G$ -neighbourhood  $U \subset X$  (that is,  $G \cdot U = U$ ) of the orbit  $G(x)$ , such that  $\varphi(x) = x$ . The preimage  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$  of the point  $x$  is also called a *slice* or a  $G_x$ -kernel.

The principal results concerning slices belong to Abels [1] and Palais [22].

THEOREM 2.5 (Palais). *A proper completely regular  $G$ -space  $X$  has a slice at every point  $x$ .*

THEOREM 2.6 (Abels). *Let  $X$  be a proper  $G$ -space with a paracompact orbit space and  $K$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Then there exists a  $G$ -map  $f : X \rightarrow G/K$  (a so-called global  $K$ -slice). Conversely, if there exists a global  $K$ -slice, then  $X$  is a proper  $G$ -space.*

In the sequel, we shall work in the class  $\mathcal{G}$  of all metric proper  $G$ -spaces, whose orbit space is also metric. The following properties of the class  $\mathcal{G}$  are well known (see [22]).

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let  $X \in \mathcal{G}$  and let  $Y$  be a separable metric  $G$ -space. Then the following properties hold:*

- (f) *The orbit  $G(x)$  is closed in  $X$ , the stabilizer  $G_x$  is compact and the natural map  $G/G_x \rightarrow G(x)$ , given by  $g \cdot G_x \mapsto gx$ , is a homeomorphism.*
- (g)  *$X$  can be equipped with an invariant metric, that is,  $d(gx, gx') = d(x, x')$ , for every  $g \in G$  and  $X \times Y \in \mathcal{G}$ .*
- (h)  *$G/L \in \mathcal{G}$ , for every compact subgroup  $L < G$ .*

Next, we introduce several notions connected with the property of absolute extendability of maps. A space  $X$  is called an *absolute neighbourhood extensor*,  $X \in ANE$ , if every map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow X$ , defined on a closed subset  $A \subset Z$  of a metric space  $Z$ , and called a *partial map*, can be extended over some neighbourhood  $U \subset Z$  of  $A$ ,  $\hat{\varphi} : U \rightarrow X$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}|_A = \varphi$ . If we can always take  $U = Z$ , then  $X$  is called an *absolute extensor*,  $X \in AE$ . We note that in the case when  $X$  is a metric space, the concepts of the absolute (neighbourhood) retract and the absolute (neighbourhood) extensor coincide.

If  $X \in A[N]E$ ,  $Z$  is a  $G$ -space from the class  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\varphi$  is a  $G$ -map (which in this case means that  $\varphi$  is constant along every orbit), then the extension  $\hat{\varphi}$  can also be chosen to be a  $G$ -map. This follows from the closedness of  $A/G$  in  $Z/G$  (which, in turn follows by the closedness of  $A$  in  $Z$ ). In connection with this example we introduce some more general concepts.

**DEFINITION 2.8.** A  $G$ -space  $X$  is called an *equivariant absolute neighbourhood extensor*,  $X \in G-ANE$ , if every partial  $G$ -map  $Z \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$ , where  $Z$  is a  $G$ -space from the class  $\mathcal{G}$ , can be extended to a  $G$ -map  $\hat{\varphi} : U \rightarrow X$ , defined on some  $G$ -neighbourhood  $U \subset Z$  of  $A$ . If we can always take  $U = Z$  then  $X$  is called an *equivariant absolute extensor*,  $X \in G-AE$ .

**DEFINITION 2.9.** A  $G$ -space  $X$  is called an *approximate  $G-A[N]E$ -space*,  $X \in G-AA[N]E$ , if for every  $G$ -space  $Z$  from the class  $\mathcal{G}$ , every fundamental subset  $F$  of  $Z$ , and every covering  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$ , the following holds: For every partial  $G$ -map  $Z \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$  there is an ‘approximate’  $G$ -extension  $\tilde{\varphi} : Z \rightarrow X$  [respectively  $\tilde{\varphi} : U \rightarrow X$ , where  $U \subset Z$  is a  $G$ -neighbourhood of  $A$ ] such that the restrictions  $\varphi|_{A \cap F}$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}|_{A \cap F}$  are  $\omega$ -close, that is,  $(\varphi|_{A \cap F}, \tilde{\varphi}|_{A \cap F}) < \omega$  (see [13]).

### 3. Equivariant extensors for locally compact Lie groups

For our purposes, the most important example of a proper  $GL(n)$ -space is the space  $C(n)$  of all convex bodies.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** For every  $n$ ,  $C(n)$  is a proper  $GL(n)$ -space.

PROOF. It suffices to prove that the following closed set

$$C(r, R) = \{V \in C(n) \mid B^n(r) \subset V \subset B^n(R)\},$$

where  $B^n(a)$  denotes the closed ball with center at 0 and of radius  $a$ , is thin for every  $0 < r < R < \infty$ , that is, that the set

$$\mathcal{A} = ((C(r, R), C(r, R))) = \{g \in GL(n) \mid gC(r, R) \cap C(r, R) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is precompact.

Suppose not. Then for some sequence  $g_n = \|g_{ij}^n\| \in \mathcal{A}$  and some indices  $(i_0, j_0)$ , one of following cases occur

( $\alpha$ )  $g_{i_0 j_0}^n \rightarrow \infty$ ; or

( $\beta$ )  $\det \|g_{ij}^n\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Suppose that  $g_n V_n \in C(r, R)$  for some  $V_n \in C(r, R)$ . Since the point  $A$ , for which only the  $j_0$ -th coordinate is equal to  $r$ , while all others are 0, lies in  $V_n$ , it follows that  $g_n A \in B^n(R)$ . But the  $i_0$ -th coordinate of  $g_n A$  is equal to  $g_{i_0 j_0}^n r$  and  $g_{i_0 j_0}^n$  does not converge to  $\infty$ . On the other hand,

$$0 < \text{vol } B^n(r) \leq \text{vol}(g_n V_n)$$

and

$$\text{vol}(g_n V_n) = \det \|g_{ij}^n\| \text{vol } V_n \leq \det \|g_{ij}^n\| \text{vol } B^n(R).$$

Therefore,  $\det \|g_{ij}^n\|$  does not converge to 0. □

The orthogonal group  $O(n)$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $GL(n)$ . By Theorem 2.6 there exists a global  $O(n)$ -slice  $f : C(n) \rightarrow GL(n)/O(n)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $X$  be a proper  $G$ -ANE-space. Then*

( $\gamma$ ) *For every  $G$ -neighbourhood  $U$  of the orbit  $G(x)$ , there exist a  $G$ -neighbourhood  $V$  and a  $G$ -map  $H : V \times [0, 1] \rightarrow U$  such that  $H_0 = \text{Id}$ ,  $\text{Im}(H_1) \subset G(x)$ , and  $H_t|_{G(x)} = \text{Id}$  for all  $t \in I$ .*

PROOF. Consider in the proper  $G$ -space  $X \times [0, 1]$  the partial  $G$ -map

$$X \times [0, 1] \leftarrow X \times \{0\} \cup G(x) \times [0, 1] \cup U_1 \times \{1\} \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$$

such that  $\varphi|_{X \times \{0\}} = \text{Id}$ ,  $\varphi|_{G(x) \times [0, 1]} = \text{Id}$ , and  $\varphi|_{U_1 \times \{1\}}$  is the existing retraction (provided by Theorem 2.6)  $r : U_1 \rightarrow G(x)$  of some  $G$ -neighbourhood  $U_1 \subset U$ .

Let  $\hat{\varphi} : W \rightarrow X$  be any extension of  $\varphi$  onto the  $G$ -neighbourhood  $W$ , which contains a  $G$ -neighbourhood of the type  $V \times I \supset G(x)$ . We get the desired map  $H$  by restricting  $\hat{\varphi}$  onto  $V \times I$ . □

The following theorem of Abels [2, 4.4] allows us to reduce the studying of non-compact group actions to compact ones.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *For every  $X \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $X \in G\text{-}A[N]E$  if and only if  $X \in L\text{-}A[N]E$  for every compact subgroup  $L < G$ .*

**THEOREM 3.4.** *For every  $n$ ,  $C(n)$  is a  $GL(n)\text{-}AE$  space.*

By Theorem 3.3,  $C(n) \in GL(n)\text{-}AE$  if and only if  $C(n) \in L\text{-}AE$ , for every compact subgroup  $L < GL(n)$ . Another theorem of Abels [2, 4.2] asserts that every locally convex complete topological vector  $G$ -space is  $G\text{-}AE$ , for every compact group  $G$ . Let us apply the argument from this paper to prove that  $C(n) \in L\text{-}AE$ .

Since  $C(n)$  is convex (with respect to the Minkowski linear combination of convex bodies), Dugunji's theorem implies that  $C(n) \in AE$ . Therefore every partial  $L$ -map  $Z \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{f} C(n)$  can be continuously extended over  $Z$ ,  $F : Z \rightarrow C(n)$ . Now define

$$\hat{F}(z) = \int_L g^{-1} \cdot F(gz) \partial\mu,$$

where  $\partial\mu$  is the normalized Haar measure and  $\int_L$  means the integral of the set-valued mapping [9]:

$$\Phi_z : L \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \Phi_z(g) = g^{-1} \cdot F(gz) \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

On account of the continuous dependence  $\Phi_z(g)$  on  $z$  and  $g$ , the convexity and the closeness of its images,  $\hat{F}$  is a continuous map with closed convex values [9]. It is easy to see that  $\hat{F}$  is an  $L$ -map from  $Z$  into  $C(n)$  and that  $\hat{F} \upharpoonright A = f$ . □

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric  $G$ -space of diameter 1 from  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then we can introduce a metric on the cone  $\text{Con } X = X \times [0, 1]/X \times \{0\}$  as follows:

$$\rho((x, t), (x', t')) = \sqrt{t^2 + (t')^2 - 2tt' \cos \gamma}, \quad \text{where } \cos \gamma = \frac{2 - d^2(x, x')}{2}.$$

It is easy to see that  $(\text{Con } X, \rho)$  is a metric  $G$ -space (the group  $G$  acts along  $X$ ) and the natural embedding  $X \mapsto X \times \{1\} \hookrightarrow \text{Con } X$  is an isometry, while  $\text{Con } X$  is not a proper space.

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *If a metric  $G$ -space  $X$  is a  $G\text{-}ANE$  space, then  $\text{Con } X$  is a  $G\text{-}AE$  space.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that a proper  $G$ -space  $Z \in \mathcal{G}$  and a partial  $G$ -map  $Z \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{f} \text{Con } X$  are given. Let  $A_0 = \varphi^{-1}(*) \subset A$ , where  $(*)$  is the vertex of  $\text{Con } X$ . Then

for every  $a \in A \setminus A_0$ ,  $\varphi(a)$  can be represented in the form  $(\varphi_1(a), \varphi_2(a))$ , where  $\varphi_1 : A \setminus A_0 \rightarrow X$  is a continuous  $G$ -map and  $\varphi_2 : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous function, constant on the orbits and such that  $\varphi_2(A \setminus A_0) \subset (0, 1]$  and  $\varphi_2(A_0) = 0$ .

Since  $X \in G\text{-ANE}$ , the map  $\varphi_1(a)$  can be extended to a  $G$ -map  $\psi : U \rightarrow X$ , defined on an open subset  $U$  of  $Z/G$ ,  $Z \setminus A_0 \supset U \supset A \setminus A_0$ . Since the orbit space  $Z/G$  is metrizable, there exists a continuous function  $\xi : Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , constant on orbits, such that  $\xi|_A = \varphi_2$  and  $\xi|_{Z \setminus U} = 0$  by the Urysohn theorem. The desired extension  $\hat{\varphi} : Z \rightarrow \text{Con } X$  of the  $G$ -map  $\varphi$  is then defined by the formula:

$$\hat{\varphi} = \begin{cases} (\psi(z), \xi(z)) & z \in U; \\ (*) & z \notin U. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Let  $H$  be a compact subgroup of the locally compact Lie group  $G$ . Then  $G/H$  is a  $G\text{-ANE-space}$ .*

**PROOF.** Every compact subgroup  $H < G$  smoothly acts on the differentiable manifold  $G/H$ . By [21, 1.6.6],  $G \in H\text{-ANE}$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $G \in G\text{-ANE}$ . □

It is convenient to reduce the studying of the equivariant extensors to the corresponding easier problem for approximate equivariant extensors. For example, if some class  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $G$ -spaces is invariant under the product on the semiopen segment  $J = [0, 1)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in the class  $G\text{-A}[N]E$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in the class of the approximate  $G\text{-A}[N]E$ .

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Suppose that the product  $X \times J$  of a metric  $G$ -space  $X$  and  $J = [0, 1)$  is a  $G\text{-AANE-space}$ . Then  $X$  is a  $G\text{-ANE-space}$ .*

For the trivial group  $G$  this is a well-known fact, which follows from [12] and [18].

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.7.** First, we consider any (not necessarily locally finite) covering  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X \times J)$  adjoining to the subset  $X \times \{1\}$  of  $X \times [0, 1]$ . The latter means by definition that:

( $\delta$ ) For every neighbourhood  $U(x, 1)$  of the point  $(x, 1) \in X \times \{1\}$  in  $X \times [0, 1]$ , there exists a smaller neighbourhood  $V(x, 1)$  such that  $W \subset U(x, 1)$ , for every  $W \in \omega$  such that  $W \cap V(x, 1) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $F$  be a fundamental set of  $Z$  (see Proposition 2.3). Then  $F \times J$  is a fundamental set of  $Z \times J$ . After these preliminaries, we begin the extending of the partial  $G$ -map  $Z \leftarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$ . Recall that  $X \times J \in G\text{-AA}[N]E$  and construct for the other partial  $G$ -map

$$Z \times J \leftarrow A \times J \xrightarrow{\psi = \varphi \times \text{Id}_J} X \times J$$



a  $G$ -map  $\tilde{\psi} : Z \times J \rightarrow X \times J$  [respectively  $\tilde{\psi} : U \rightarrow X \times J$ ] such that

$$\left(\varphi|_{(A \cap F) \times J}, \tilde{\psi}|_{(A \cap F \times J)}\right) \prec \omega.$$

We give all details of the proof only for the case when  $X \times J \in G\text{-AAE}$ . The case when  $X \times J \in G\text{-AANE}$  is dealt with similarly. Extending  $\tilde{\psi}$  over  $A \times \{1\}$  by the formula  $\tilde{\psi}(a, 1) = (\varphi(a), 1)$ , we obtain a  $G$ -map (which we denote by the same letter)  $\tilde{\psi} : Z \times J \cup A \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X \times J$ , the restrictions of which onto the closed  $G$ -set  $A \times [0, 1]$  and the open  $G$ -set  $Z \times J$  are continuous. Now we apply the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.8.** *Suppose that a  $G$ -map  $f : H \cup E \rightarrow Y$  is defined on the union  $H \cup E$  of a closed  $G$ -space  $H \in \mathcal{G}$  and open  $G$ -subset  $E$  of a proper  $G$ -space  $T \in \mathcal{G}$ , such that  $f|_H$  and  $f|_E$  are continuous. Then there exists a closed  $G$ -subspace  $K \subset T$  such that  $H \subset K \subset H \cup E$ ,  $H \cap E \subset \text{Int}(K)$  and  $f|_K$  is a continuous  $G$ -map.*

Apply Lemma 3.8 for  $T = Z \times [0, 1]$ ,  $H = A \times [0, 1]$ ,  $E = Z \times J$  and  $f = \tilde{\psi}$ . We get a closed  $G$ -subset  $L$  of  $Z \times [0, 1]$  such that  $A \times [0, 1] \subset L$ ,  $A \times [0, 1) \subset \text{Int } L$  and  $\tilde{\psi}|_L$  is a continuous  $G$ -map.

Next, we construct a decreasing sequence  $L = U_1 \supset \text{Cl } U_2 \supset \dots$  of open  $G$ -neighbourhoods of the set  $A$  and a monotone sequence of numbers  $0 = t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ , such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = 1$  and  $U_k \times [0, t_k] \subset L$ .

Let  $\xi : Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a continuous real-valued function, constant on the orbits and such that  $\xi(U_1 \setminus U_2) = 0$ ,  $\xi(U_i \setminus U_{i+1}) \subset [t_{i-1}, t_i]$  for every  $i \geq 2$ , and  $\xi(A) = 1$ . Clearly, the graph  $\text{GR} = \{(z, \xi(z)) \mid z \in Z\}$  of  $f$  lies in  $L$  and the restriction of  $\tilde{\psi}$  onto  $\text{GR}$  is a continuous  $G$ -map. The desired extension is now given by the formula:

$$\hat{\varphi}(z) \text{ is the projection of } \tilde{\psi}(z, \xi(z)) \in X \times [0, 1] \text{ onto } X.$$

Using  $\text{diam } W_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $W_n \in \omega$ , whenever  $\text{dist}((x, 1), W_n) \rightarrow 0$  for some point  $(x, 1) \in X \times \{1\}$ , it is easy to check the continuity of  $\hat{\varphi}$ . □

#### 4. Orbit spaces of equivariant absolute extensors

This section is dedicated to a proof of the following result.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact Lie group and  $X$  a proper  $G\text{-A}[N]E$  from  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then the orbit space  $X/G$  is an absolute [neighbourhood] extensor.*

Since  $C(n)$  is a proper  $\text{GL}(n)$ -space from  $\mathcal{G}$  which is an equivariant absolute extensor, we obtain as an immediate corollary of Theorem 4.1 that for every closed

subgroup  $H < GL(n)$ , the orbit space  $C(n)/H$  belongs to the class of absolute extensors.

We begin with the following embedding theorem.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $X \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then there exist a countable number of finite-dimensional G-ANE-spaces  $R_{nm}$  ( $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ), from the class  $\mathcal{G}$ , and a topological G-embedding  $i : X \hookrightarrow \prod_{n,m}^{\infty} \text{Con } R_{n,m}$ .*

Let  $X$  be equipped by the invariant metric (see Proposition 2.7 (g)). For every point  $x$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we fix a G-map  $\varphi_{x\varepsilon} : X \rightarrow \text{Con}(G(x))$  satisfying the properties of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $X \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then for every point  $x \in X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a G-map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \text{Con}(G(x))$  with  $\varphi(x) = x$ , such that*

(5)  $\text{diam } \varphi^{-1}((V \cdot x) \times (0, 1]) < \varepsilon$ , for some neighbourhood  $V$  of the stabilizer  $G_x$  in  $G$ .

PROOF. Let  $r : U(x) \rightarrow G(x)$  be a G-retraction. We may assume that not only does the  $G_x$ -kernel  $r^{-1}(x)$  have diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ , but also  $\text{diam}(V \cdot r^{-1}(x)) < \varepsilon$ , for some neighbourhood  $V$  of the compact stabilizer  $G_x$ . This is possible by Theorem 2.5 and the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *For every neighbourhood  $O(x) \subset X$ , there exists a smaller neighbourhood  $O_1(x)$  such that*

- (6)  $G_x \cap \text{cl}\{g \mid g O_1(x) \setminus O(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$ ; and
- (7)  $G \cdot O_1(x) \cap r^{-1}(x) \subset O(x)$ .

The desired G-map of  $X$  is then given by the formula:

$$\varphi(x') = \begin{cases} (r(x'), \xi(x')) & x' \in U(x); \\ (*) & x' \notin U(x). \end{cases}$$

Here, the function  $\xi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is constant on orbits,  $\xi(x)=1$  and  $\xi(X \setminus U(x))=0$ .  $\square$

Since by hypothesis  $X/G$  is metrizable, there exists a  $\sigma$ -disjoint basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{W_\mu\}_{\mu \in M}$  of open subsets, such that  $\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup \mathcal{B}_n$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{W_\mu\}_{\mu \in M_n \subset M}$  is a disjoint family and  $\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n = M$ .

DEFINITION 4.5. A pair  $\nu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in M \times M$  of indices is said to be *canonical*, if

- (8)  $W_{\mu_1} \Subset W_{\mu_2}$  (that is,  $\overline{W_{\mu_1}} \subset W_{\mu_2}$ ); and
- there exist  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that:

(9)  $x \in \pi^{-1}W_{\mu_1} \subset V_{x\varepsilon}$  and  $U_{x\varepsilon} \subset \pi^{-1}W_{\mu_1}$ , where

$$V_{x\varepsilon} = \varphi_{x\varepsilon}^{-1}(G_x \times (1/2, 1]) \quad \text{and} \quad U_{x\varepsilon} = \varphi_{x\varepsilon}^{-1}(G_x \times (0, 1]),$$

and  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$  is the orbit projection.

We denote the set of all canonical pairs by  $K \subset M \times M$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.6.** *There exists a correspondence  $\nu \in K \mapsto (x_\nu, \varepsilon_\nu) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $(x_\nu, \varepsilon_\nu)$  satisfies (9) and*

(10) *For every closed subset  $F \subset X$  and  $x \notin F$  there exists a canonical pair  $\nu \in K$  with  $\varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}(x) \notin \varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}(F)$  (that is,  $\varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}$  separates the point  $x$  from the closed subset  $F$ ).*

**PROOF.** Let

$$i(\nu) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid (x, \varepsilon) \text{ satisfies (9) for some point } x \in X\}.$$

It is evident that  $i(\nu) > 0$ . Therefore, every  $\nu \in K$  yields a pair  $(x_\nu, \varepsilon_\nu)$  possessing (9) and such that

(11)  $\varepsilon_\nu < 2i(\nu)$ .

Let  $4a = \rho(x, F)$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis, there exist  $\nu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in K$  and  $\varepsilon < a$  such that

$$x \in \pi^{-1}W_{\mu_1} \subset V_{x\varepsilon} \subset U_{x\varepsilon} \subset \pi^{-1}W_{\mu_1}.$$

It follows from (11) that  $\varepsilon_\nu < 2a$ .

Let us prove that  $\nu$  is a desired pair. Suppose that a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $G_{x_\nu}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 4.3:

$$\text{diam } \varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}^{-1}((Vx_\nu) \times (0, 1]) < \varepsilon_\nu < 2a.$$

Since  $x \in V_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}$ , it follows that  $\varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}(x) = (gx_\nu, t)$ ,  $t > 1/2$ .

Pick a neighbourhood  $W = gVg^{-1}$  of  $e \in G$ . Then

$$\varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}(x) \in (W \cdot gx_\mu) \times (1/2, 1]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}^{-1}(W \cdot gx_\mu \times (1/2, 1]) \\ &\subset \varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}^{-1}(g \cdot V \cdot x_\mu \times (1/2, 1]) = g \cdot \varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}^{-1}(V \cdot x_\mu \times (1/2, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

By the invariance of the metric, the latter set has diameter smaller than  $2a$ , hence the diameter of the open neighbourhood  $A$  of  $x$  is also less than  $2a$ . As a consequence, it follows that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $\varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}(x) \notin \varphi_{x_\nu\varepsilon_\nu}(F)$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.2. Let us introduce a countable family of spaces:

$$R_{nm} = \coprod \{ G(x_\nu) \mid \nu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in K, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{B}_n, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{B}_m \}.$$

Since  $G(x_\nu) \in G\text{-ANE}$ ,  $R_{nm}$  is also a  $G\text{-ANE}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_m$  is a disjoint family and

$$\varphi_{x_\nu, \varepsilon_\nu}|_{(X \setminus \pi^{-1}W_{\mu_2})} = (*) \in \text{Con}(G(x_\nu)),$$

we obtain that

$$\psi_{nm} : X \rightarrow \text{Con } R_{nm}, \quad \psi_{nm}|_{\pi^{-1}W_{\mu_2}} = \varphi_{x_\nu, \varepsilon_\nu}, \quad \psi_{nm}|_{X \setminus \cup \pi^{-1}W_{\mu_2}} = (*)$$

is a well-defined  $G$ -map. Since  $\{\psi_{nm}\}$  separates points from closed subsets, the diagonal product

$$\Delta\psi_{nm} : X \rightarrow \prod_{n,m} \text{Con } R_{nm}$$

is a topological  $G$ -embedding. □

PROPOSITION 4.7. *Suppose that a  $G$ -space  $H$  is the limit of the inverse spectrum  $\{H_1 \xleftarrow{q_1} H_2 \xleftarrow{q_2} H_3 \leftarrow \dots\}$  of  $G$ -spaces  $H_i$  and  $G$ -maps  $q_i$ , and that*

(12) *The stabilizer  $G_h$  of any point  $h \in H_i \setminus H_i^G$  is compact.*

*Then the orbit spaces  $H/G$  and  $\varprojlim \{H_1/G \xleftarrow{\tilde{q}_1} H_2/G \xleftarrow{\tilde{q}_2} H_3/G \leftarrow \dots\}$  are homeomorphic.*

PROOF. The homeomorphism  $\varphi : H/G \rightarrow \varprojlim \{H_i/G, \tilde{q}_i\}$  is given by the formula:

$$\varphi([h]) = ([h_1], [h_2], \dots), \text{ where } h = (h_i) \in H.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\varphi$  is continuous and surjective. We shall thus only verify that  $\varphi$  is injective. Assume that  $[h] \neq [e]$ , where  $h = (h_i), e = (e_i) \in H$  and let us show that then  $\varphi([h]) \neq \varphi([e])$ . It suffices to prove the following lemma. □

LEMMA 4.8. *There exists an integer  $i$  such that  $e_i \notin G(h_i)$ .*

PROOF. If  $e, h \in H^G$ , then  $e_i \neq h_i = G \cdot h_i$ , for some  $i$ . So we may assume that  $h \notin H^G$ , that is,  $G_h = \bigcap G_{h_i} \neq G$ . By (12) and inclusion  $G_{h_{i+1}} \subset G_{h_i}$ , almost all  $G_{h_i}$ 's differ from  $G$  and almost all  $G_{h_i}$  are compact.

Suppose to the contrary, that  $e_i = g_i h_i, g_i \in G$  for every  $i$ . It is easy to show that then

$$e_k = g_k h_k = g_{k+1} h_k = \dots = g_i h_k$$

for every  $k \leq l$ . Therefore,  $g_l \in g_k \cdot G_{h_k}$ , for every  $k \leq l$ .

Since the stabilizer  $G_{h_m}$  is compact for some  $m$ , it follows that the sequence  $\{g_l\}_{l \geq m} \subset g_m \cdot G_{h_m}$  converges to  $g_0 \in g_m \cdot G_{h_m}$ . Analogously, one can show that  $g_0 \in g_p \cdot G_{h_p}$ , for all  $p \geq m$ . Consequently,  $g_0 h_p = g_p h_p = e_p$ , for all  $p \geq m$ , that is,  $e = g_0 h$ . Contradiction. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. Using the hypotheses, let us fix a topological  $G$ -embedding (Proposition 4.2):

$$i : X \hookrightarrow \prod_{n,m} \text{Con } R_{nm} = D$$

and a closed topological embedding  $j : X/G \hookrightarrow L$  of the orbit space  $X/G$  into a linear normed space  $L$ . It is obvious that

$$i \times (j \circ \pi) = e : X \hookrightarrow L \times D$$

is a closed topological  $G$ -embedding. Since the image  $e(X)$  does not contain points with a noncompact stabilizer,  $e(X)$  does not intersect the closed set  $L \times \{*\}$ , where  $\{*\}$  is the product of the vertices of the cone-factors of  $D$ . Therefore,  $e(X)$  lies in the proper open  $G$ -space  $U' = L \times (D \setminus \{*\})$ .

Since  $L \times D \in G\text{-}AE$ , it follows that  $U' \in G\text{-}ANE$ . Since  $X \in G\text{-}ANE$ , there exists a  $G$ -retraction  $r : U \rightarrow X$  of some  $G$ -neighbourhood  $U$ ,  $e(X) \subset U \subset U'$ . Hence,  $\tilde{r} : U/G \rightarrow X/G$  is a retraction and the inclusion  $X/G \in ANE$  is reduced to another inclusion  $U/G \in ANE$ .

If we now prove that  $D/G \in AE$ , then  $(L \times D)/G = L \times (D/G) \in AE$ , and therefore,  $U/G \in ANE$  as an open subset of the orbit space. To complete the proof of the theorem, it thus remains to verify that  $D/G \in AE$ .

Let us introduce the following notations:  $D_p = \prod_{n+m \leq p} \text{Con } R_{nm}$  and  $q_r : D_{r+1} \rightarrow D_r$  is a projection. Since  $R_{nm}$  is metrized by a complete invariant metric, it follows that  $\text{Con } R_{nm}$  and  $D_r$  are also metrized by a complete invariant metric. Thus, the orbit space  $D_r/G$  is also metrized by a complete metric. It follows from  $D_r \in G\text{-}AE$  and Proposition 3.2 that  $D_r/G \in \text{LC} \cap \text{C}$ . Due to its countable-dimensionality and the Haver theorem [15] we obtain that  $D_r/G \in AE$ .

Since  $\text{Con } R_{r+1} \in AE$ , the projection  $q_m$  is a fiberwise  $G$ -contractible map, that is, there exist fiberwise  $G$ -maps  $s : D_r \rightarrow D_{r+1}$ ,  $q_r \circ s = \text{Id}$  and  $H : D_{r+1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow D_{r+1}$ ,  $q_r \circ H = q_r$ , such that  $H_0 = \text{Id}$  and  $\text{Im}(H_1) = \text{Im}(s)$ . Passing to the orbit spaces we obtain fiberwise contractible maps  $\tilde{q}_r : D_{r+1}/G \rightarrow D_r/G$ , that is,  $\tilde{q}_r$  is a fine homotopy equivalence. Since all the conditions of Curtis's theorem [11] are satisfied, we conclude that  $\varprojlim \{D_i/G, q_i\}$  is an  $AE$ . But by Proposition 4.7 this inverse limit coincides with the orbit space  $D/G$ . □

**5. Proof of Theorem 1.2**

By Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 3.1, there exists a  $GL(n)$ -retraction  $r : C(n) \rightarrow GL(n)/O(n) = \mathfrak{E}$ , which is nevertheless unacceptable for us because of its nonconstructibility. Another geometric  $GL(n)$ -retraction, generated by the Löwner ellipsoid, will be more convenient.

**THEOREM 5.1** (see [17]). *For every convex body  $V \in C(n)$ , there exists a unique ellipsoid  $E_V \in C(n)$ , which contains  $V$  and has the minimal Euclidean volume.*

The  $GL(n)$ -invariance of  $E_V$  (that is,  $E_{AV} = AE_V$  for all  $A \in GL(N)$ ) then follows by minimality of the volume. A continuous dependence  $E_V$  on  $V$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric was proved in [5]. Therefore,  $\mathcal{L} : C(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(V) = E_V$ , is a  $GL(n)$ -retraction of  $C(n)$  onto the *ellipsoid orbit*  $\mathfrak{E}$  ( $\mathcal{L}$  is called the *Löwner retraction*).

Since the symmetry group  $Sym_{B^n}$  of  $B^n$  is  $O(n)$ , the  $O(n)$ -slice  $L(n) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}(B^n)$  is an  $O(n)$ -space. In other words,  $L(n)$  consists of all bodies  $V \in C(n)$  whose minimal Löwner ellipsoid coincides with  $B^n$ . The orbit space  $Q(n) = C(n)/GL(n)$  is homeomorphic to  $L(n)/O(n)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 4.1,

$$L(n)/O(n) = Q(n) \in AE \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\varepsilon = Q(n) \setminus \{\text{Eucl.}\} = L_\varepsilon(n)/O(n) \in ANE,$$

where  $L_\varepsilon = L(n) \setminus \{B^n\}$ , and so Theorem 1.2 is reduced to the following:

**THEOREM 5.2.**  *$Q_\varepsilon(2) = L_\varepsilon(2)/O(2)$  is a Hilbert cube manifold.*

We prove Theorem 5.2 in three main steps which are carefully outlined below.

**Step 1. Reduction of Theorem 5.2 to Proposition 5.3 and the Toruńczyk characterization for  $Q$ -manifolds**

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *For every integer  $n \geq 2$  and every  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $O(n)$ -maps  $f_i : L_\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow L_\varepsilon(n)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , such that*

- (1)  *$f_i$  and  $\text{Id}_{L_\varepsilon(n)}$  are  $\delta$ -close; and*
- (2) *if  $n = 2$  then  $\text{Im } f_1 \cap \text{Im } f_2 = \emptyset$ .*

**PROOF OF THEOREM 5.2.** According to the Toruńczyk characterization criterion [19], in order to prove Theorem 5.2, it suffices to check that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all pairs of maps  $\varphi_i : I^\infty \rightarrow Q_\varepsilon(n)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , there are continuous maps  $g_i : I^\infty \rightarrow Q_\varepsilon(n)$ ,  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $\varphi_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , such that if  $n = 2$  then  $\text{Im } g_1 \cap \text{Im } g_2 = \emptyset$ .

Since  $F = \cup \text{Im } \varphi_i$  and  $F_1 = \pi^{-1}(F)$  are compact (here  $\pi : L_{\mathcal{E}}(n) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{E}}(n)/O(n)$  is the orbit projection), there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\text{dist}(\pi(a), \pi(b)) < \varepsilon$ , for every  $a, b \in F_1$ , with  $\text{dist}(a, b) < \delta$ .

By Proposition 5.3 for every  $n \geq 2$ , there are  $O(n)$ -maps  $f_i : L_{\mathcal{E}}(n) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{E}}(n)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , satisfying (1) for  $\delta > 0$  and (2) for  $n = 2$ . The induced maps  $\tilde{f}_i$  of the orbit spaces,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , have the following properties for  $n = 2$ :

$$\rho(\tilde{f}_i|_F, \text{Id}_F) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \cap \text{Im } \tilde{f}_i = \emptyset.$$

Finally, the desired maps  $g_i : I^\infty \rightarrow Q_{\mathcal{E}}(2)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , are defined by the formula  $g_i = \tilde{f}_i \circ \varphi_i$ . □

### Step 2. Construction of $f_1$

Let us consider so-called *contact map*  $\alpha : L(n) \rightarrow \exp(S^{n-1})$ , defined by  $\alpha(V) = V \cap S^{n-1}$ . The following lemma, whose routine verification is omitted, records several basic properties of  $\alpha$ .

- LEMMA 5.4. (3)  $\alpha$  preserves the action of  $O(n)$ ,  $\alpha(A \cdot V) = A \cdot \alpha(V)$ , for every  $A \in O(n)$ ;
- (4)  $\alpha(V) \neq \emptyset$ , for every  $V \in L(n)$ ;
- (5)  $\alpha(V)$  is a central symmetric subset of  $S^{n-1}$ ; and
- (6)  $\alpha(V) = S^{n-1}$  if and only if  $V = B^n$ .

LEMMA 5.5. (7) Let  $V \subseteq W \subseteq B^n$ , where  $V \in L(n)$  and  $W \in C(n)$ . Then  $W \in L(n)$ .

- (8) For every subset  $A \subseteq B^n$ ,  $\alpha(\text{Conv}(A)) = \text{Conv}(A) \cap S^{n-1} = A \cap S^{n-1}$ .

PROOF. (7) The minimal Löwner ellipsoid for  $W$  and  $V$  coincides with  $B^n$ . Hence  $W \in L(n)$ .

In order to prove (8), it suffices to observe that every point  $s \in \text{Conv}(A) \cap S^{n-1}$  is an extreme point of  $B^n$  and therefore is also an extreme point of  $\text{Conv}(A) \subseteq B^n$ . But all extreme points of  $\text{Conv}(A)$  are contained in  $A$ . Therefore  $s \in A$ . □

Unfortunately, the contact map  $\alpha$  is discontinuous. The following reasoning compensates for this unpleasant moment. Let us denote by  $\widehat{x0y}$  the nonoriented angle between the rays  $[0x)$  and  $[0y)$ , where  $x, y \in B^n$  and  $x, y \neq 0$ . Next, we introduce a version of the closed  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of a set, which will be convenient for us. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $V \in L(n)$ . By  $V_\varepsilon$  we denote

$$V \cup \{x \in B^n \setminus \{0\} \mid \text{there exists } y \in V \text{ with } \|x\| = \|y\| \text{ and } \widehat{x0y} \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

It is clear that  $V_\varepsilon$  preserves the action of  $O(n) : (g \cdot V)_\varepsilon = g \cdot V_\varepsilon$ , for every  $g \in O(n)$ ,  $V \in L_\varepsilon(n)$ . The compactness of  $V$  implies that  $V_\varepsilon$  is compact; the inequality  $\|x - y\| < \widehat{x0y}$ , for every  $\|x\| = \|y\|$ , implies that

$$(9) \quad V \subseteq V_\varepsilon \subseteq \overline{N}(V; \varepsilon), \text{ where } \overline{N}(V; \varepsilon) \text{ is a closed } \varepsilon\text{-neighbourhood of } V \text{ in } B^n.$$

We need  $V_\varepsilon$  to be continuously dependent on  $V$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow \varepsilon > 0$  and  $V_k \in L(n) \rightarrow V$ . Then  $(V_k)_{\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow V_\varepsilon$ .*

PROOF. Let  $R_k = (V_k)_{\varepsilon_k}$  and  $R = V_\varepsilon$ . Suppose that the assertion of the proposition is false, that is, that  $R_k \not\rightarrow R$ . Then there exist  $\alpha > 0$  and a sequence  $k_i \rightarrow \infty$  such that

- (10)  $x_0 \notin N(R_{k_i}; \alpha)$ , for some  $x_0 \in R$ ; or
- (11) there exists  $x_i \in R_{k_i}$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , with  $x_i \notin N(R; \alpha)$ .

In the first case,  $\widehat{x_0 0 y_0} \leq \varepsilon$ , for some  $y_0 \in V$ , with  $\|y_0\| = \|x_0\|$ . Since  $V_k \rightarrow V$ , there exists a sequence  $y_k \in V_k \rightarrow y_0$ . It is easy to see that there exists a sequence  $x_k \in B^n \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $\widehat{x_k 0 y_k} \leq \varepsilon_k$ ,  $\|x_k\| = \|y_k\|$ . It means that  $x_k \in (V_k)_{\varepsilon_k} = R_k$ , for every  $k$  and the limit point  $x_0$  of  $\{x_k\}$  belongs to  $N(R_{k_i}; \alpha)$ , for some  $k_i$ . This contradicts (10).

In the second case, there exists a sequence  $\{y_i \in V_{k_i}\}$  such that  $\|y_i\| = \|x_i\|$  and  $\widehat{y_i 0 x_i} \leq \varepsilon_{k_i}$ . By compactness of  $B^n$ , we can suppose that there exist the limits  $y_i \rightarrow y \in V$  and  $x_i \rightarrow x \in B^n$ . Then  $\|y\| = \|x\|$  and  $\widehat{x 0 y} \leq \varepsilon$ . Therefore,  $x \in V_\varepsilon = R$ . This contradicts the fact that  $x_i \notin N(R; \alpha)$ . □

Consider the following set-valued map:

$$F : L_\varepsilon(n) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \quad F(V) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{t > 0 \mid B^n \setminus N(V; t) \neq \emptyset\},$$

where  $N(V; t)$  is the open  $t$ -neighbourhood of  $V$  in  $B^n$ .

Since  $N(V; t)$  is a continuous set-valued map from  $L_\varepsilon(n) \times \mathbb{R}^+$  into  $B^n$  (in the Hausdorff metric) and  $B^n \setminus V \neq \emptyset$ , the map  $F$  is lower semicontinuous and has domain  $L_\varepsilon(n)$ . Let us consider the function  $f : \text{Graph}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  given by  $f(V, t) = t$  and defined on the graph  $F$ . Then the function  $g : L_\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , defined by

$$g(V) = \sup\{t > 0 \mid B^n \setminus N(V; t) \neq \emptyset\} = \sup\{f(V, t) \mid (V, t) \in \text{Graph}(F)\}$$

is well defined and lower semi-continuous [9, page 48] (in set-valued analysis  $g$  is called a *marginal function* [24]).

By the Dowker theorem [13], there exists a continuous function  $\gamma : L_\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\gamma(V) < \delta \cdot g(V)$ ,  $V \in L_\varepsilon(n)$ . By Proposition 5.6, it is clear that  $V_{\gamma(V)}$  continuously depends on  $V \in L_\varepsilon(n)$ . The desired continuous  $O(n)$ -map  $f_1 : L_\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow L_\varepsilon(n)$  is defined by setting  $f_1(V) = \text{Conv}(V_{\gamma(V)})$ . By (9),  $f_1$  and  $\text{Id}_{L_\varepsilon(n)}$  are  $\delta$ -close.



Let  $\text{dist}(v, w)$  be the spherical distance between  $v, w \in S^{n-1}$  and  $\overline{N}_{\text{sph}}(A; R)$  be the closed  $R$ -neighbourhood of the subset  $A \subset S^{n-1}$  with respect to the spherical distance. By Lemma 5.5 (8),

$$\alpha \circ f_1(V) = \text{Conv}(V_{\gamma(V)}) \cap S^{n-1} = V_{\gamma(V)} \cap S^{n-1} = \overline{N}_{\text{sph}}(V; \gamma(V)).$$

The last equality means the boundary of  $f_1(V)$  to contain an open (nonempty) subset  $S^{n-1}$ , for every  $V \in L_{\mathcal{G}}(n)$ . The mapping  $f_2$  will be constructed without such property and therefore  $\text{Im } f_1 \cap \text{Im } f_2 = \emptyset$ .

### Step 3. Construction of $f_2$

**THEOREM 5.7.** *For every  $\sigma > 0$ , there exists an  $O(n)$ -mapping  $F : L_{\mathcal{G}}(n) \rightarrow C(n)$  such that*

(12)  $\rho(F, \text{Id}_{L_{\mathcal{G}}(n)}) < \sigma$ ; and

(13) *for every  $V \in L_{\mathcal{G}}(n)$ ,  $F(V) = \text{Conv}(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i D_i)$ , where  $D_i$  is an  $H_i$ -orbit,  $H_i$  is a proper subgroup of  $O(n)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0$ .*

In connection with this theorem we formulate a geometric conjecture, which is trivially true in dimension 2. If Conjecture 5.8 is valid then our proof of Theorem 1.2 immediately generalizes to arbitrary  $n \geq 2$ .

**CONJECTURE 5.8.** *The body  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i D_i$  (hence also  $\text{Conv}(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i D_i)$ ) in the formula (13) ‘essentially differs’ from the ball, that is, its boundary does not contain open subsets of the sphere.*

**PROOF.** By the Palais theorem (Theorem 2.5) any orbit  $O(n)V, V \in L_{\mathcal{G}}(n)$ , allows an  $O(n)$ -retraction  $r'_V : \mathcal{U}_V \rightarrow O(n)V, r'_V(V) = V$ . Here we can assume that:

(14)  $\rho_H(W, r'_V(W)) < \sigma/2$ , for all  $W \in \mathcal{U}_V$ .

**LEMMA 5.9.** *For every  $\theta > 0$  there exists a finite set  $K \subset \text{Bd } V$  such that:*

- (i)  $W = \text{Conv}(\text{St}_V K)$  and  $V$  have equal stabilizers; and
- (ii)  $\rho_H(V, W) < \theta$ .

**PROOF.** It follows from the existence of slices that for some numbers  $\theta > \theta_1 > 0$  from  $\rho_H(V, V') < \theta$  and  $\text{St}_{V'} \supseteq \text{St}_V$ , it always follows that  $\text{St}_{V'} = \text{St}_V$ . Consider a discrete subset  $K \subset \text{Bd } V$  such that  $\rho_H(V, \text{Conv } K) < \theta_1$ . Then

$$V \supseteq \text{Conv}(\text{St}_V K) = W \supseteq \text{Conv } K$$

and therefore  $\rho_H(V, W) < \theta_1$ . Next, it follows from  $\text{St}_W = \text{St}_{\text{Conv}(\text{St}_V K)} = \text{St}_{\text{St}_V K} \supseteq \text{St}_V$  and  $\rho(V, W) < \theta_1$  that  $\text{St}_W = \text{St}_V$ . □

For every  $V \in L_{\mathcal{L}}(n)$ , fix  $V' = \text{Conv}(HK_V) \in C(n)$  such that  $H = \text{St}_V$ ,  $K_V \subset \text{Bd } V$ ,  $|K_V| < \infty$  and  $\rho_H(V, V') < \sigma/2$ . Let us introduce the composition

$$r = h_V \circ r' : \mathcal{U}_V \rightarrow O(n)V \rightarrow O(n)V',$$

where  $h_V(gV) = gV'$  is an  $O(n)$ -homeomorphism.

If we get  $V'$  sufficiently close to  $V$  then we obtain the following:

(15)  $\text{dist}(W, r_V W) < \sigma$ , for every  $W \in \mathcal{U}_V$ .

We inscribe a locally finite cover  $\{T_\mu\}$  into the open cover  $\{\mathcal{U}_V/O(n)\}$  of the orbit space  $L_{\mathcal{L}}(n)/O(n) = Q_{\mathcal{L}}(n)$ . Let  $T_\mu \subset \pi(U_{V_\mu})$ .

We now define the desired  $O(n)$ -map  $F : L_{\mathcal{L}}(n) \rightarrow C(n)$  as follows:

$$F(W) = \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}(\pi W) \cdot r_{V_{\mu}}(W), \quad W \in L_{\mathcal{L}}(n),$$

where  $\{\gamma_{\mu}(\cdot)\}$  is a continuous partition of unity, subordinate to the cover  $\{T_{\mu}\}$ .

We verify the conditions (12) and (13) of Theorem 5.7. Let  $T_1, \dots, T_m \in \{T_{\mu}\}$  be all the elements which contain  $\pi W$  and let  $T_i \subset \pi(U_{V_i})$ . It follows by (4) that  $\rho_H(W, r_{V_i}(W)) < \sigma$ , for all  $i$ . Then by convexity of the ball of radius  $\sigma$  at  $C(n)$  we have that  $\text{dist}(W, FW) < \sigma$ . Thus (12) has been verified.

Condition (13) follows, since  $H \text{Conv } K$  is a union of a finite number of  $H$ -orbits for every proper subgroup  $H < O(n)$  and finite  $K$ . □

It is well known [2] that there exists a  $O(n)$ -retraction  $R : C(n) \rightarrow L(n)$  which takes  $C_{\mathcal{L}}(n)$  into  $L_{\mathcal{L}}(n)$ . But we need the following precise result which follows from geometric considerations:

**THEOREM 5.10.** *There exists a continuous  $O(n)$ -retraction  $R : C(n) \rightarrow L(n)$ , such that  $V$  and  $R(V)$  are affinely equivalent, for every  $V \in C(n)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $L(V)$  be the Löwner ellipsoid, circumscribed around  $V$ ,  $g \in \text{GL}(n)$ ,  $g(L(V)) = B^n$ . As is well known,  $g$  can be represented as  $g = g_2 \circ g_1$ , where  $g_2 \in O(n)$  and  $g_1$  is self-adjoint. Here  $R(V) = g_1(V)$ . □

Since  $L(n)$  is compact, for every  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\sigma < \delta/2$ , such that for every  $V \in L(n)$  and every  $W \in C(n)$ ,

$$\rho_H(V, W) < \sigma \Rightarrow \rho_H(W, R(W)) < \delta/2.$$

By Theorem 5.7 there is a mapping  $F : L_{\mathcal{L}}(n) \rightarrow C(n)$  such that  $\rho(F, \text{Id}_{L_{\mathcal{L}}(n)}) < \sigma$ . The desired map  $f_2$  is  $R \circ F$ .

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_H(V, f_2 V) &= \rho_H(V, R \circ F(V)) \\ &< \rho_H(V, F(V)) + \rho_H(F(V), R(FV)) < \sigma + \delta/2 < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since for  $n = 2$ , the boundary  $F(V)$ ,  $V \in L_{\mathcal{E}}(n)$ , does not contain an open subset of a sphere,  $f_2(V)$  which is affinely equivalent  $F(V)$ , also does not contain any open subsets of the sphere. Therefore,  $\text{Im } f_1 \cap \text{Im } f_2 = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The preliminary version of this paper was written during the visit by the first author to the University of Ljubljana in June 1996 and announced in [6] and [7]. It was presented at the *Second Iberoamerican Conference on Topology and Its Applications* in Morelia, Mexico, in March 1997 [3] and at the *General and Geometric Topology Conference* in Kyoto, Japan, in March 1998 [23]. The first author acknowledges the support of INTAS grant No. 96-0712. The second author acknowledges the support of the Ministry for Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia grant No. J1-0885-0101-98. The authors thank the referee for several comments.

### References

- [1] H. Abels, 'Parallelizability of proper actions, global  $K$ -slices and maximal compact subgroups', *Math. Ann.* **212** (1974), 1–19.
- [2] ———, 'Universal proper  $G$ -spaces', *Math. Z.* **159** (1978), 143–158.
- [3] S. M. Ageev, 'Theory of extensors for non-compact Lie groups', in: *Abstr. II Congr. Iberoamer. Topol. Appl.*, (Math. Inst. UNAM Morelia, Mexico, 1997) p. 11.
- [4] S. M. Ageev and S. A. Bogatiĭ, 'On nonhomeomorphicity of the Banach-Mazur compactum to the Hilbert cube', *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **53** (1998), 209–210; English translation: *Russian Math. Surveys* **53** (1998), 205–207.
- [5] S. M. Ageev, S. A. Bogatiĭ and P. Fabel, 'The Banach-Mazur compactum  $Q(n)$  is an AR', *Vestnik MGU Mat. Meh.* **1** (1998), 11–13; English translation: *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **53** (1998), 10–12.
- [6] S. M. Ageev, S. A. Bogatiĭ, P. Fabel and D. Repovš, 'Extensors of noncompact Lie groups', *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk* **362** (1998), 151–154; English translation: *Dokl. Math.* **58** (1998), 190–193.
- [7] S. M. Ageev and D. Repovš, 'Theory of equivariant absolute extensors for noncompact Lie groups', preprint, University of Ljubljana, 1997.
- [8] S. A. Antonyan, 'Retraction properties of an orbit space', *Mat. Sbor.* **137** (1988), 300–318; English translation: *Math. USSR Sbor.* **65** (1990), 305–321.
- [9] J.-P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, *Set-valued analysis* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990).
- [10] R. H. Bing, 'Partitioning continuous curves', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **58** (1952), 536–556.
- [11] D. W. Curtis, 'Some theorems and examples on local equiconnectedness and its specializations', *Fund. Math.* **72** (1971), 101–113.

- [12] C. H. Dowker, 'Homotopy extension theorems', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **6** (1956), 100–116.
- [13] R. Engelking, *General topology* (Heldermann, Berlin, 1989).
- [14] P. Fabel, 'The Banach-Mazur compactum  $Q(2)$  is an AR', in: *Abstracts Borsuk-Kuratowski session* (Warsaw 1996) p. 5.
- [15] W. E. Haver, 'Locally contractible spaces that are absolute neighbourhood retracts', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40** (1973), 280–284.
- [16] J. Jaworowski, 'Symmetry products of ANR's', *Math. Ann.* **192** (1971), 173–176.
- [17] F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, Studies and Essays, Courant Anniversary Volume (Interscience, New York 1948) pp. 187–204.
- [18] S. Mardešić, 'Approximate polyhedra, resolutions of maps and shape fibrations', *Fund. Math.* **114** (1981), 53–78.
- [19] J. van Mill, *Infinite-dimensional topology: prerequisites and introduction*, North-Holland Math. Library 43 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989).
- [20] M. Murayama, 'On  $G$ -ANR's and their  $G$ -homotopy types', *Osaka J. Math.* **20** (1983), 479–512.
- [21] R. S. Palais, 'The classification of  $G$ -spaces', *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36** (1960).
- [22] ———, 'On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **73** (1961), 295–323.
- [23] D. Repovš, 'Geometric topology of Banach-Mazur compacta', in: *General and geometric topology* (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1998) Surikaiseikikenkyusho Kokyuroku **1074** (1999), 89–101.
- [24] D. Repovš and P. V. Semenov, *Continuous selections of multivalued mappings* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998).
- [25] J. H. C. Whitehead, 'Note on a theorem due to Borsuk', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** (1948), 1125–1132.

Department of Mathematics

Brest State University

Brest 224665

Belorussia

e-mail: ageev@kiipm.belpak.brest.by

Department of Mathematics

University of Ljubljana

Ljubljana 1001

Slovenia

e-mail: dusan.repovs@fmf.uni-lj.si